

2143



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Parasnis et al. Attorney Docket No: MICR0173
Serial No: 09/533,049 Group Art Unit: 2143
Filed: March 22, 2000 Examiner: A. A. Boutah
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR RECORDING A PRESENTATION FOR
ON-DEMAND VIEWING OVER A COMPUTER NETWORK

RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Bellevue, Washington 98004
June 12, 2003

RECEIVED
JUN 19 2003

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Technology Center 2100
Transmitted herewith is a response in the above-identified patent application. No additional claim fee is required, as shown below. Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 01-1940. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

<u>Computation of Fee For Claims as Amended</u>					
	<u>Claims Remaining after Amendment</u>	<u>Highest Number Previously Paid For</u>	<u>Present Extra</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Additional Fee</u>
Total Claims	29	29	-0-	x \$18	-0-
Independent Claims	5	5	-0-	x \$84	-0-
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					<u>-0-</u>

Respectfully submitted,

Ron Anderson

Ronald M. Anderson
Registration No. 28,829

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 12, 2003.

Date: June 12, 2003

Katry Pantier



#11
T.D.
06/25/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Parasnis et al. Attorney Docket No: MICR0173
Serial No: 09/533,049 Group Art Unit: 2143
Filed: March 22, 2000 Examiner: A. A. Boutah
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR RECORDING A PRESENTATION FOR
ON-DEMAND VIEWING OVER A COMPUTER NETWORK

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Bellevue, Washington 98004

June 12, 2003

RECEIVED

JUN 19 2003

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Technology Center 2100

In response to the Final Office Action dated April 8, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as Final Office Action), applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the application in view of the Remarks that follow.

REMARKS

Claims 1-29 remain pending in the present application. No amendment has been made to the claims or the specification.

Summary of Telephone Interview

A telephone interview was held on May 27, 2003 between the Examiner and applicants' attorney to clarify portions of a document entitled "PowerCast Delivery," which was previously submitted as evidence of conception and reduction to practice of the claimed invention prior to the effective date of a reference by Rutledge et al. ("Special Edition: Using Microsoft Powerpoint 2000," March 1, 1999, McMillan Computer Publishing, Chapter 17, hereinafter referred to as "Rutledge") that was cited in a previous Office Action. Applicants' attorney noted that the PowerCast Delivery document included a Figure 1 showing an active stream format (ASF) data stream being archived, and noted that pages 13 and 14 described the archiving process. Applicants' attorney also noted that a Figure 5 and page 5 of the PowerCast Delivery document provided details regarding the content of the ASF stream. The Examiner acknowledged discussing the noted portions of the PowerCast Delivery document with the Examiner's Primary Examiner and indicated that the noted portions appeared to provide support